

REMARKS


This Amendment is being submitted with a Request for Continued Examination. This Amendment reopens prosecution following the Decision of the Board of Appeals of February 10, 2009.

The Board rejected claims 1-8 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Cragun. Applicants have amended claims 1-6 and 8 to further distinguish over Cragun.

As noted by the Board of Appeals in its analysis of claim 1, Cragun fails to explicitly or inherently disclose the step of timing a time period of displaying the first information (see page 8). The Board was able to get around this lack of disclosure by finding that the claimed timing step does not require timing the entire period of displaying the first information.

Applicants have amended claim 1 to clarify that the first information is displayed until an end of the time period if the person does not begin use of the kiosk within the time period. Applicants have further amended claims 2-6 and 8 to reflect a similar limitation.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-8 are in condition for allowance. Action to that end is hereby solicited.



Paul W. Martin
Attorney for Applicants
Phone: (937) 445-2990

Dayton, OH